

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,708	. 0	3/18/2004	Raymond A. Wekluk	84966	5964	
23501	7590	11/17/2005		EXAMINER		
NAVAL SUI	RFACE	WARFARE C	RAEVIS, ROBERT R			
OFFICE OF C	OUNSE	L, CODE XDC	1			
17320 DAHLO		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAHI GREN				2856		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7/2				
		10/808,708	WEKLUK, RAYMON	ND A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert R. Raevis	2856					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addi	ress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 .						
· —	•	☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	itage				
Attachmen	it(s)	•						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2)  Notice 3)  Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-18-04</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				

Art Unit: 2856

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, "portion" (line 6) of what? Use of the term "portion" suggests that there is something more than the portion, or in addition to the portion. Also, "for retaining an element to be located" (last line) is confusing, as the mounting plate retains the sensor 72 (i.e. the "element") which does the locating. It is the target (not the sensor) that is located. Thus, the claim is not consistent with the written specification and drawing.

As to claim 8, "portion" (line 4) of what? Also, "for retaining an element to be located" (last two lines) is not consistent with the written specification and drawing for the reason stated in the previous paragraph.

As to claim 11, "portion" (line 10) of what? Also, "for retaining an element to be located" (lines 4-5 from bottom of the claim) is not consistent with the written specification and drawing for the reason stated in the previous paragraph. Is the "mounted" (line 6) a noun? What is a "sensor mounted" (line 6)? Finally, isn't the "sensor" (line 6) the structure that is retained ("retaining" on line 5 from bottom of the claim) "in the mounting plate" (line 5 from bottom of the claim)?

As to claim 16, isn't the "element" (line 2) the same as the "sensor" (line 3), thus resulting in the same element being claimed twice? (Double Inclusion)

As to claim 18, "for retaining an element to be located" (line two from last) is not consistent with the written specification and drawing, as the target is not retained by a mechanism in the mounting plate. Also, the "a sensor" (line 13) not connected to the remainder of the claimed apparatus, and thus the claim seems to be directed to a kit, and not a "combination".

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Eramo et al teach use of a threaded rod 18 and guide 16 for displacing a measuring instrument.

Sloyan teach use of a threaded driver 16 between guides 12.

Campbell teaches (Figures 1,2) use of a driving screw 142 between rails 132,134 for driving platform 130, the same platform employing a second driving screw 154 and rails 146,148 to displace a measuring instrument 12.

McMurtry teach (Figure 1) use of dual threaded rods to drive a measuring instrument 9 in a horizontal and *vertical* direction.

Schihl teaches use of a rail to position a position switch 18.

Cassidy teaches (Figure 2) use of dual threaded rods 72,60 and guides 50,52 and 54,58 to drive a platform 40.

Purinton teaches displacing a drill B in both the horizontal (threaded drive F) and vertical (telescopic arm A) directions, while Smith teaches use of threads 4 to apply vertical displacement of a drill.

Yanangisawa teaches (Figure 1) use of driving rod 32 and guides 17 to move a table in one direction, and a second driving rod 22 and guides 19 to displace the same table in a perpendicular direction.

No claim could be deemed to contain allowable material in view of excessive 35 USC 112 (2) issues.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 3:30pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAEVIS